Case 3:73-cv-00128-RCJ-WGC Document 92 Filed 03/10/97 Page 1 of 7

GORDON H. DePAOLI 1 State Bar No. 000195 DALE E. FERGUSON 2 State Bar No. 004986 WOODBURN and WEDGE One East First Street **Suite 1600** P.O. Box 2311 5 Reno, Nevada 89505 Telephone: (702) 688-3000 6 Attorneys for the WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA 10 11 IN EQUITY NO. C-125-ECR UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 12 SUBFILE NO. C-125-C 13 Plaintiff, MOTION TO REQUIRE 14 MINERAL COUNTY TO WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE, **IDENTIFY AND FILE A** 15 REPORT CONCERNING THE STATUS OF SERVICE ON 16 EACH INDIVIDUAL WALKER 17 RIVER CLAIMANT Plaintiff-Intervenor, 18 VS. 19 WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, 20 a corporation, et al., 21 Defendants. 22 The Walker River Irrigation District (the "District") hereby moves this Court for an 23 24 order requiring Mineral County by a date certain to identify, on an individual basis, all 25 claimants to the waters of the Walker River and its tributaries (the "Walker River Claimants") 26

against whom it seeks permission to assert the claims set forth in its proposed First Amended

Complaint. In addition, the District moves the Court for an order requiring Mineral County

28
WOODBURN AND
WEDGE
ATTORNEYS
ONE EAST FIRST STREET
RENO. NEVADA 89501

27

Case 3:73-cv-00128-RCJ-WGC Document 92 Filed 03/10/97 Page 2 of 7

by a date certain to file a report concerning the status of service of its Intervention Documents with respect to each individual it identifies as a Walker River Claimant.

This Motion is based on the following memorandum of points and authorities and all of the pleadings and papers on file herein.

DATED this DH day of March, 1997.

WOODBURN AND WEDGE P.O. Box 2311 Reno, Nevada 89505

GORDON H. DePAOCT
DALE E. FERGUSON
Attorneys for the Walker River
Irrigation District

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

In October of 1994, Mineral County filed documents with this Court in an attempt to intervene in the above-captioned lawsuit. As a result, in February of 1995 this Court entered an order requiring Mineral County to serve its Intervention Documents on all claimants to the waters of the Walker River and its tributaries (the "Walker River Claimants") pursuant to Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (the "Service Order"). See Docket No. 19.

Since the entry of the Service Order, Mineral County has attempted to serve its

Intervention Documents on numerous individuals and entities. In conducting these service
efforts, however, Mineral County has failed and refused to take into consideration a
paramount factor that must be established in every lawsuit. Mineral County has not identified

28
WOODBURN AND
WEDGE
ATTORNEYS
ONE EAST FIRST STREET
RENO. NEVADA 89501

(702) 688-3000

Case 3:73-cv-00128-RCJ-WGC Document 92 Filed 03/10/97 Page 3 of 7

the parties against whom it proposes to assert its claims. In other words, Mineral County has failed and refused to identify each and every individual and entity that it claims is a Walker River Claimant and is therefore a necessary and proper party to this action. Absent this identification, Mineral County's proposed intervention cannot possibly proceed.

II. THE COURT SHOULD REQUIRE MINERAL COUNTY TO IDENTIFY (BY NAME) ALL PERSONS AND ENTITIES IT CLAIMS ARE WALKER RIVER CLAIMANTS AND TO FILE A REPORT WITH THE COURT CONCERNING THE STATUS OF ITS SERVICE WITH RESPECT TO EACH

In order to comply with the Court's orders addressing service of its Intervention

Documents (See Docket Nos. 19, 44, 48, 74), Mineral County must identify each individual
and entity it proposes to assert claims against and offer the Court evidence concerning
completed or attempted service on those individuals and entities. Until Mineral County
submits this information to the Court, it is impossible for Mineral County to be relieved of
service or ordered to complete service through publication.

On or about June 2, 1995, Mineral County filed a list with this Court under the cover "Proof of Service by Mailing." Mineral County has not, however, indicated whether all or merely some of the names contained in the Proof of Service by Mailing list comprise the list of Walker River Claimants against whom Mineral County proposes to assert its claims. Therefore, at the present time, neither the Court nor any other party knows the specific identity of the individuals and entities that Mineral County will assert claims against if allowed to intervene in this matter.

In February of 1996, Mineral County claimed that it had complied with the Court's orders concerning service and had served substantially all Walker River Claimants. To rebut this claim, it was necessary for the District to attempt to determine the status of Mineral County's service by reviewing the information contained in the Return of Service and Waiver

Case 3:73-cv-00128-RCJ-WGC Document 92 Filed 03/10/97 Page 4 of 7

of Service forms (the "Service Forms") filed by Mineral County. By comparing the information contained in the Service forms to the names appearing on the "Proof of Service by Mailing" list, the District determined that Mineral had not complied with the Court's service orders or served substantially all Walker River Claimants.

At this point in Mineral County's attempted intervention, however, the initial burden of determining the status of service should be on Mineral County and not the District or any other party. As a proposed plaintiff, Mineral County should have the initial burden to establish that it has effected service on all necessary and proper parties, as defined by the Court, and that its proposed intervention is therefore ready to move forward. To make this initial showing, Mineral County must first name each individual Walker River Claimant that may someday be impacted by its claims and then, with respect to each, make a representation as to whether or not service has been accomplished. If service has not been accomplished on a specific individual or entity, Mineral County should state the reason for failing to effect service.

III. CONCLUSION

In order for this Court to relieve Mineral County of further service obligations or to order the completion of service through publication, Mineral County must first identify the Walker River Claimants that it proposes to assert claims against. In addition, to comply with the Court's service orders, Mineral County must establish completed service or offer reasons as to why service is not complete with respect to each individual and entity that it identifies as a Walker River Claimant. Initially, the burden of making this showing should fall on Mineral County as the proposed plaintiff.

Given these circumstances, the District respectfully requests that the Court enter an order: 1) requiring Mineral County by a date certain to identify, by name, each individual and

Case 3:73-cv-00128-RCJ-WGC Document 92 Filed 03/10/97 Page 5 of 7

entity it believes comprises the Walker River Claimants; and 2) requiring Mineral County by a date certain to file a report concerning the status of service of its Intervention Documents with respect to each individual Walker River Claimant which, in the event service is incomplete for a particular Walker River Claimant, states the reason as to why service has not been effected.

DATED this 10th day of March, 1997.

WOODBURN AND WEDGE P.O. Box 2311 Reno, Nevada 89505

GORDON H. DePAOLIO
DALE E. FERGUSON
Attorneys for the Walker River

Irrigation District

28
WOODBURN AND
WEDGE
ATTORNEYS
ONE EAST FIRST STREET
RENO. NEVADA 89501

Case 3:73-cv-00128-RCJ-WGC Document 92 Filed 03/10/97 Page 6 of 7

1	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING		
	<u>CERTIFICATE OF MAILING</u>		
2	I hereby certify that I am an employee of the law firm of WOODBURN AND		
3	me to the control of		
4	WEDGE and that on this 16th day of July, 1996, I deposited for mailing at Reno, Nevada a		
5	true and correct copy of the foregoing document, to the following:		
4	Shirley A. Smith	Richard R. Greenfield	
6	Asst. U.S. Attorney	Dept. of the Interior	
7	100 W. Liberty St., #600	Two North Central Ave., #500	
	Reno, Nevada 89509	Phoenix, AZ 85004	
8	1.0.00, 1.0 , 1.0.00		
9		Western Nevada Agency	
	George Benesch	Bureau of Indian Affairs	
10	P.O. Box 3498	1677 Hot Springs Road	
	Reno, NV 89505	Carson City, NV 89706	
11			
12	Jim Weishaupt, General Manager	R. Michael Turnipseed, P.E.	
12	WRID	Division of Water Resources	
13	P.O. Box 820	State of Nevada	
	Yerington, NV 89447	123 West Nye Lane	
14		Carson City, NV 89710	
15	James T. Markle	Scott McElroy	
1.0	State Water Resources	Greene, Meyer & McElroy	
16	Control Board	1007 Pearl Street	
17	P.O. Box 100	Boulder, CO 80302	
	Sacramento, CA 95814		
18			
10	John Kramer	David Moser, Esq.	
19	Dept. of Water Resources	McCutchen, Doyle, Brown &	
20	1416 Ninth Street	Enerson	
	Sacramento, CA 95814	Three Embarcadero Center	
21		San Francisco, CA 94111	
22	Richard E. Olson, Jr.	John P. Lange	
	Claassen and Olson	Land and Natural Resources	
23	P.O. Box 2101	Federal Bldg., Dr. 3607	
24	Carson City, NV 89702	999 18th Street, Ste. 945	
27	Carson Gray, 200	Denver, CO 80202	
25			
	Ross E. deLipkau	Roger Johnson	
26	P.O. Box 2790	Water Resources Control Board	
25	Reno, Nevada 89505	State of California	
27	1010, 110, 444	P.O. Box 2000	
28		Sacramento, CA 95810	
WOODBURN AND			
WEDGE	11		

WEDGE ATTORNEYS ONE EAST FIRST STREET RENO. NEVADA 89501 (702) 688-3000

Case 3:73-cv-00128-RCJ-WGC Document 92 Filed 03/10/97 Page 7 of 7

1	Garry Stone 290 South Arlington		
2	Reno, NV 89510		
3	Linda Bowman		
4	Vargas & Bartlett P.O. Box 281		
5	Reno, Nevada 89504		
6	Marta Adams		
7	Deputy Attorney General State of Nevada		
8	198 So. Carson Street		
9	Carson City, Nevada 89710		
10	r: 0		
	Jim Spoo Treva J. Hearne		
11	Zeh, Polaha, Spoo & Hearne 575 Forest Street		
12	Reno, Nevada 89509		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

Mary Hackenbracht Deputy Attorney General State of California 2101 Webster Street Oakland, CA 94612-3049

Roger Bezayiff Water Master U.S. Board of Water Commissioners P.O. Box 853 Yerington, Nevada 89447